# Geo policies and the cost of energy security

Ionut PURICA Prof.c.m.AOSR

IENE, Athens 05.Mar.2019

Elements of security

According to the Security strategy of the energy systems launched by the EU Commission in 2014 it is necessary to have a diversified portfolio of electrical energy generation technologies that ensures the coverage of situations when various types of risks manifest themselves. The same applies for gas interconnectors and for the climate change risks impact on critical infrastructures.







Map 2: Termoelectrica thermal power plants





Map 5: Electricity exchanges across interconnections, 2000 (GWh)





### Precipitations

SD/Mean rain	0.6552731 1	hidro lake	
		TWh	16
		TWh lake	4.8
		h/year	8760
		exposure TWh	3.1453109 28
		power MW	359.05375 89





### Danube

SD/Mean Danube	0.36153 4215			
		Needed s run river	ecurity hyd	dro
		TWh	16	
		TWh run river	11.2	
		h/year	8760	
		exposur e TWh	4.04918 3203	
		power MW	462.235 5254	



SD/Mean wind	0.5	wind	
		TWh	3
		TWh wind	3
		h/year	8760
		exposure TWh	1.5
		power MW	171.23287 67

### Wind



### Photovoltaic

SD/Mean PV	0.6	PV	
		TWh	1
		TWh PV	1
		h/year	8760
		exposure TWh	0.6
		power MW	68.493150 68

In the table below a simulation of a typical financing scheme is presented for a coal power plant of 669 MW having a total of 3000 US\$/kW and a lifetime of 50 years (the monetary units in the table are given in US\$ but they can be replaced with Euro without changing the values).

	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н		J	
1	financing	FI equity	loc. equity	Comm.loan	Exp.loan	LT loan	Bonds	TO: \$/KW	\$mm i10	\$mm i15	\$/K\
2								FI equity	0.00	0.00	
3	]i	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.07	0.06	loc. equity	0.00	0.00	
4	N	8	8	5	15	15	10	Comm.loan	450.00	450.00	6
5	PMT	0.00	0.00	269.47	0.00	162.76	72.03	Exp.loan	0.00	0.00	
6	capital \$/kWh	0.0720	0.8	utilization	\$/kW	PMT SUM	504.26	LT loan	850.00	850.00	12
7	fixed op \$/kWh	0.0131	40.97	\$/KW	\$/kW	project life	259.13	Bonds	300.00	300.00	4
8	var oper \$/kWh	0.0011				difference:	94.60%	Total	1600.00	1600.00	23
9	fuel \$/kWh	0.0017	0.47	\$/MWh t	\$/kWh inv.	project life:	0.0370	cost adjustmer	t ratio>	1.00	
10	TOTAL \$/kWh	0.0879	3.64	MWh t/MWh				\$mm cap	1600.00		
11	LIFE \$/kWh>>	0.0529	0.0350	B10-B11				-idc	0.00		
12	WDR	life	PV cap	PV fix op	PV var op	PV fuel	PV kWh	-pr.conting	0.00		
13	0.08452	50	3012.72	1068.30	89.63	139.85	81477.64	-wk.cap	0.00		
14	AFUDC = allowa	nce for fund	s used durin	g constructio	n			other adj	0.00		
15	YTC = years to c	ommissionir	ng		i = interest	or return rate	Э	net capital	1600.00	1	
16	WDR = weighted	discount ra	te		N = years t	o maturity		MW	669.6	1	
17	ERROR	verifies i8 a	ind i29		PMT = ann	ual capital cl	narge	\$/kW	2389.49		
18	Capital charge ur	nit compone	nts:							•	
19		FI equity	loc. equity	Comm.loan	Exp.loan	LT loan	Bonds	TOTAL			
20	\$/kWh>>>	0.0000	0.0000	0.0385	0.0000	0.0232	0.0103	0.0720	1		
21									•		
22	AFUDC calc.	FI equity	loc. equity	Comm.loan	Exp.loan	LT loan	Bonds	YTC	cashflow %		
23		0.00	0.00	92.81	0.00	79.51	25.39	5	0.15	All cost dat	a \$/k\
24	1	0.00	0.00	71.15	0.00	62.92	20.15	4	0.15		
25	]	0.00	0.00	51.91	0.00	47.34	15.20	3	0.15		
26	]	0.00	0.00	34.82	0.00	32.73	10.54	2	0.15		
27	]	0.00	0.00	26.19	0.00	25.35	8.18	1	0.20		
28	1	0.00	0.00	8.22	0.00	8.18	2.65	0	0.20		
29	afudc/kW	0.00	0.00	285.09	0.00	256.03	82.11	623.23	1.00	1.00	
30	\$/kW <afudc< td=""><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>672.04</td><td>0.00</td><td>1269.41</td><td>448.03</td><td>2389.49</td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td></afudc<>	0.00	0.00	672.04	0.00	1269.41	448.03	2389.49		-	
31	\$/kW w. afudc	0.00	0.00	957.13	0.00	1525.44	530.14	3012.72			
32											
	-								1		
33	For WDR:	"i" weighted	d by PMT sh	ares; N =	1						
33	For WDR:	"i" weighted FI equity	by PMT sh sp. equity	ares; N = Comm.loan	1 Exp.loan	LT loan	Bonds	TOTAL			

## **Dependency on Russian gas imports**



Source : CEDIGAZ- Estimate of international gas trade by pipeline in 2009



#### Event tree for Climate change events

Risk maps

### Total CC events risk map [thousands US\$]



### distribution of risk pemium per cap

County	Premium Risk /cap US\$	County	Premium Risk /cap US\$
Bucuresti	0	Harghita	19.68
Alba	17.05	Hunedoara	8.44
Arad	11.81	Ialomita	43.59
Arges	8.28	Iasi	12.27
Bacau	8.33	Ilfov	6.68
Bihor	8.43	Maramures	8.31
Bistrita Nasaud	27.29	Mehedinti	32.56
Botosani	20.53	Mures	11.32
Braila	35.06	Neamt	11.59
Brasov	12.96	Olt	21.09
Buzau	16.20	Prahova	11.77
Calarasi	40.17	Salaj	51.13
Caras Severin	8.74	Satu Mare	31.13
Cluj	8.77	Sibiu	17.69
Constanta	13.86	Suceava	5.13
Covasna	59.81	Teleorman	23.70
Dambovita	22.91	Timis	6.71
Dolj	9.90	Tulcea	36.61
Galati	20.83	Valcea	15.41
Giurgiu	46.07	Vaslui	22.73
Gorj	16.76	Vrancea	25.50

Romania gas grid CC and mechanical risk [probable deaths/1000 cap]



### Natural gas risk in Italy [probable deaths / million inhabitants]



### Conclusions

the results of evaluating the mitigation and adaptation measures to the risks in the energy system (considering only hydraulicity, wind and photovoltaic) lead to the need of coal capacities of at least 1000 MW

Security to gas supply may be enhanced with North South interconnectors that link the three seas in the East of the EU. Climate change risk becomes important and an insurance policy should be considered fast.

The energy sector may not be regarded from only a commercial view point, its strategic importance as well as the social one make necessary taking into consideration noncommercial costs that must be internalized in the financing scheme to reach optimal decisions.

### **THANK YOU**

puricai@yahoo.com +40745167781