### Ημερίδα ΙΕΝΕ: Οι Προκλήσεις στην Λειτουργία της Αγοράς Ηλεκτρικής Ενέργειας



Πέμπτη 9 Μαΐου 2019

Παρουσίαση: Ανδρέας Πετροπουλέας Energy Management Director



#### EU electricity generation 2010-18, by fuel type



Source: Agora Energiewende & Sandbag



#### Changes in EU28 electricity production, from 2017 to 2018



Source: Agora Energiewende & Sandbag

The rise in coal, gas and carbon prices pushed up the out-turn power prices in almost every EU country in 2018





#### CO<sub>2</sub>-intensity of electricity consumption



Source: Agora Energiewende & Sandbag

#### **Overall ETS emissions**



Emissions falls are almost single-handedly a result of reduced generation from hard coal power plants. Lignite power plant emissions remain stubbornly high, industrial emissions are almost unchanged since 2012, and aviation emissions continue to soar.



# 2030 projection of renewable electricity share in European Commission's Long Term Strategy



<sup>2030</sup> projection from "Long Term Strategy", European Commission 2018, dashed lines show projection



# Monthly traded volume of electricity on the most liquid European markets



Source: S&P Global Platts, wholesale power markets, Trayport, and DG ENER computations CEE: Central Eastern Europe

# Quarterly churn rates on selected European wholesale electricity markets



The churn rate measures how many times a unit of electricity is traded before it is finally consumed.

#### Market Coupling - Current state-of-play of Single Dayahead Coupling (As of July 2018)

Two coupling projects are in parallel in operation using infrastructure based on EUPHEMIA. These projects are **MRC** and **4M MC** 

- MRC integrates 20 countries, representing close to 90 % of the European electricity consumption.
- **4M MC,** since 19<sup>th</sup> November 2014. It has operated successfully

The **4M MC** Day-ahead ATC based price coupling covering Czech-Slovak-Hungarian-Romanian market areas.

The **MRC** operational countries are: Austria, Belgium, Croatia, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Great Britain, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Slovenia, Spain and Sweden.



### Market Coupling - Current state-of-play of Single Intraday Coupling (As of July 2018)

#### XBID Market Coupling Intraday

- Market Coupling in intraday markets as one major project within Europe
- Goals
  - Increase in market liquidity
  - Increase efficiency of intraday markets
- IT solution supports explicit as well as implicit continuous trading
- First go-live with indicated countries in June 2018
- 2nd go-live wave with more countries (especially from eastern Europe) planned for 2019



ource: Nord Pool

The borders have not been confirmed yet but Bulgaria, Croatia, Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland, Romania and Slovenia are actively involved in this expansion

### **Cross-border projects in electricity balancing**

#### Member MARI FCR Cooperation Common market for procurement and Manually Activated Reserves ٠ exchange of Frequency Containment Reserve Initiative Regional project currently involves ten TSOs from 19 TSOs decided to work on the ٠ seven countries European mFRR platform PICASSO Observer automatic Platform for the International ٠ Member Coordination of the Automatic manual Frequency Restoration Process FRR RR and Stable System Operation 17 member TSOs and ten ٠ observers Observer TERRE Trans European Replacement Reserves Exchange Member ٠ European implementation project for exchanging • Observer Replacement Reserve by building the RR platform Member and balancing market TERRE involves six full members and four observers ٠



# The Future: Flexibility & Supply value chains interaction model



Source: USEF (Universal Smart Energy Framework)

#### Energy Only Market and Capacity Mechanisms

#### **Consequences of the Liberalization of Electricity Markets**

- Complete competition on the electricity markets
- Energy Only Market (EOM) carries danger of missing money problem
- Decrease in conventional generation capacity due to age structure
- Concerns whether an EOM can guarantee long-term security of supply

#### **Capacity Mechanisms**

- Measures to ensure security of supply
- Monetary compensation for provision of available generation capacity
- Different design options for capacity mechanism are discussed and implemented in Europe

	until 2020		2021 to 2025
MVV (% Pinst)		1	MVV (% P <sub>inst</sub> )
6394 (8 %)		FR	3464 (5 %)
5616 (8 %)		DE	6079 (10 %)
3373 (14 %)		PL	6422 (27 %)
1728 (8 %)		ITn I	135 (1 %)
877 (16 %)		HU	3074 (43 %)
820 (11 %)		GR 🗰	820 (10 %)
730 (25 %)		СН	0 (0 %)
690 (7 %)		FI 📕	310 (3 %)
625 (15 %)		SK I	197 (6 %)
576 (16 %)		ITsic	0 (0 %)
514 (28 %)		NI	0 (0 %)
500 (29 %)		HR HR	1000 (50 %)
480 (31 %)		DKe	480 (31 %)
480 (4 %)		BE	1214 (18 %)
478 (10 %)		RS R	281 (5 %)
475 (31 %)		LT 🗖	475 (51 %)
370 (20 %)		ITcn	380 (25 %)
288 (5 %)		BG B	288 (4 %)
175 (3 %)		ITcs	0 (0 %)
106 (2 %)		IE	510 (10 %)
0 (0 %)		LV	42 (4 %)
0 (0 %)		ITsar	80 (8 %)
0 (0 %)		CY	150 (11 %)
0 (0 %)		BA	370 (16 %)
0 (0 %)		ITs	1292 (12 %)
0 (0 %)		AT	2367 (47 %)
8000 M	W	0 MW 0 MW	8000 MW
			Source: ENTSO-E

Generation Capacity at risk of being mothballed, absolute [MW] and relative [% of the thermal generation capacity]

### System Adequacy - Development of Power Plant

#### Capacities

#### **Overview of SEE markets – Power exchanges**



#### WB6 - Electricity Market Progress (November 2018)



#### Developments in SEE; regional Outlook studies, generation mix by country in 2030

Greece is estimated to be effectively the only country in the region with considerable gas-topower generation; electricity generated by CCGTs is expected to increase significantly by 2030

ELECTRICITY GENERATION AND DEMAND (TWh) AND RES SHARE (% OF DEMAND) BY COUNTRY, 2030



Source: SEERMAP Study (REKK, EEG, EKC, OG Research, ERRA)



#### On 8 April 2019, EEX launched order book trading for the Greek Power Market

#### **Greek Month Future** Settl. Price **Vol. Trade Registration Open Interest Prev. Day** Last Price Name i. May-19 110 -х **1m** 3m 6m **1y** All 2019-04-08 1d 2019-04-30 21 21 63.5 **Intraday Prices** Settlement Prices 63 all series Last Price 62.5 Volume Trade Registration Price 62 Volume Exchange 61.5 - Last Price 61 Volume Trade Registration 60.5 Volume Exchange 10. Apr 26. Apr 28. Apr 30. Apr 12. Apr 14. Apr 16. Apr 18. Apr 20. Apr 22. Apr 24. Apr





- 1. PPC keeps operating Amyndeo complex despite otherwise foreseen under the strict and explicit conditions set by Directive 2010/75/EU regarding industrial emissions.
- On 26/11/2018, the Greek Ministry of Energy issued an amendment to the Ministerial Decision 360/2013 (implementing Directive 2010/75/EU) according to which the conditions of article 33 para 4 of the Directive have been distortively interpreted in a way to award Amyndeo units 32000 hours of operation instead of 17500.

Kardia 1	Kardia 2	Kardia 3	Kardia 4	Amyndeo
17.500	17.500	17.500	17.500	17.500
17.245	16.869	17.127	16.186	21.013
255	631	373	1.314	-3.513
11	26	16	55	-146
0	1	1	2	-5
	Kardia 1   17.500   17.245   255   11   0	Kardia 1Kardia 217.50017.50017.24516.869255631112601	Kardia 1Kardia 2Kardia 317.50017.50017.50017.24516.86917.127255631373112616011	Kardia 1Kardia 2Kardia 3Kardia 417.50017.50017.50017.50017.24516.86917.12716.1862556313731.314112616550112

3. Kardia complex has a remaining 1-2 months of operation.



(Jan-April)		2018		2019				
	Emissions Costs €	Production MWh	Emissions Costs €/MWh	Emissions Costs €	Production MWh	Emissions Costs €/MWh		
PPC_Lignites	60.974.509	4.483.896	13,60	150.944.084	4.266.838	35,38		
PPC_NG	5.284.358	1.496.942	3,53	20.906.807	2.346.370	8,91		
IPPs_NGs	7.799.939	2.344.680	3,33	23.758.577	2.823.020	8,42		

Lignite Unit	CO2 Cost (€/MWh) If CO2 price =26,4€/tn
Kardia 1	42,8
Kardia 2	43,3
Kardia 3	40,5
Kardia 4	40,5
Megalopoli 3	48,6
Megalopoli 4	55,0







84,9%	84,1%	82,8%	82,0%	80,7%	80,4%	80,7%	81,0%	79,4%	78,1%	78,6%	80,3%	79,7%	78,0%	76,6%
15,1%	15,9%	17,3%	18,0%	19,3%	19,6%	19,3%	19,0%	20,6%	21,9%	21,4%	19,7%	20,3%	22,1%	23,4%
Jan-18	Feb-18	Mar-18	Apr-18	May-18	Jun-18	Jul-18	Aug-18	Sep-18	Oct-18	Nov-18	Dec-18	Jan-19	Feb-19	
					_	— PPC –	Alterna	tive Supplie	rs					
2 000														
≥1.800														
≥ 1.600														
1.400													1.6	517
1.200							1.346	1.359						
1.000			1.138	1.270 3 $1.16$	58 1.154 1	1.165	1.219	1.26	/ 1.188					
800 94	4 <u>1</u> 968	947 896	987		1.	087								
600		850												
400														
200														
0														
Jan	-18 N	lar-18 N	May-18	Jun-18	Aug-18 ——Month	Oct-18 Ny Hourly Av	Dec-18 erage Alterna	Feb-19 tive Supplier	Apr-1 Apr-1 S Declaration	.9 Jun	-19 Au	ıg-19 (	Oct-19	Dec-19

Source: HEnEX, Projection based on increase rate of last 8 months 24

- <u>Maximum limit on forward/OTC contracts for the dominant player</u>: Very critical measure to mitigate the market power of the dominant player (PPC). Introduction of maximum limit (0-5%) on PPC's "internal" Forward contracts is absolutely necessary. <u>It should be, however,</u> <u>combined with an obligation to sell Forward minimum volumes to 3<sup>rd</sup> parties</u>.
- 2. Centralized dispatch, unit-based offers: production units will participate separately in the DAM, IDM and BM. Model design in the right direction, in order to mitigate market power of PPC, the only participant with diversified portfolio.
- 3. Absence of floor price for production offers in DAM and IDM: Power producers will be able to submit orders on prices lower than the minimum variable cost of the corresponding unit. Close market monitoring is necessary to ensure rational bidding strategy of the dominant player.
- 4. Physical and financial products:
  - Complex products should be included in the FM(e.g. peak, offpeak,workdays)
  - Liquidity of the Forward Market is uncertain
  - Forward Market Code not published yet
- **5. Orders types in DAM:** the uncertainty of the available type of orders in DAM and IDM remains. The downsizing of the order types could lead to non-optimal Power Plant programming.
- 6. Participation of load, RES and aggregators in zones: The regulatory framework for niche markets like RES aggregation and Demand Response is not clear. The submission of orders in the DAM and the IDM per will be done by system zone and losses zone. Aggregation per zone & per technology creates barriers for development of this activity.



# Ευχαριστώ

# Ανδρέας Πετροπουλέας